

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,038	0	4/30/2001	Brian T. Murren	GE1-008US	5210
21718	7590	04/18/2006		EXAMINER	
LEE & HA` SUITE 500	YES PLL	С	PAULA, C	PAULA, CESAR B	
421 W RIVE	RSIDE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SPOKANE,	WA 992	01		2178	

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/847,038	MURREN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		CESAR B. PAULA	2178					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period fo	or Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ja	anuary 2006.						
/—	This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30 and 34-37</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30, and 34-37</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
·	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.								
	-							
Attachment	((s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

- This action is responsive to the RCE amendment filed on 1/25/2006.
 This action is made Non-Final.
- 2. In the amendment, claims 1-30, and 34-37 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 10, 20, 26, 34, and 36 are independent claims.
- 3.. The rejections of claims 1- 9, and 20-30, and 34-37 rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tondervold et al, hereinafter Tondervold (Pat.# 5,410,646, 4/25/1995) have been withdrawn as necessitated by the amendment.
- 4. The rejections of claims 10-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tondervold, in view of Yankovich et al, hereinafter Yankovich (Pat. # 6,704,906, 3/9/2004, filed on 3/27/1999) have been withdrawn as necessitated by the amendment.

Drawings

5. The drawings filed on 4/30/2001 have been approved by the examiner.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/847,038

Art Unit: 2178

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1-30, and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hitchcock et al, hereinafter Hitchcock (Pat.# 6,345,278 B1, 2/5/2002, filed on 6/3/1999).

Regarding independent claim 1, Hitchcock teaches creating a form in accordance to an institution's request. Attributes are utilized for automatically adding form fields to an application(s) for requesting information chosen by the institution—receiving an indication of a desired form to be used for data input; automatically identifying one or more data input fields to be included on the form, and generating, after automatically identifying the one or more data input fields, a form definition including the automatically identified data input fields— (col. 6, lines 3-11, col.7, lines 29-38, 60-67, col.8, lines 60-col.9, line 20, col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.15, lines 27-46, and col.21, lines 1-67).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding claim 2, which depends on claim 1, Hitchcock discloses an XML parser for generating applications of form elements including validation rule elements for validating data associated with the form elements—automatically identifying for each of the one or more input fields, one or more restrictions (col.21, lines 30-67).

Regarding claim 3, which depends on claim 2, Hitchcock discloses an XML parser for generating applications of form elements including validation rule elements for validating data associated with the form elements—automatically identifying for each of the one or more input fields, one or more restrictions (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.21, lines 30-67). In other words, the processor requests the data for the display of the fields from a forms engine—requesting and receiving the one or more restrictions from a business logic, which subsequently processes requests submitted via the form.

Regarding claim 4, which depends on claim 2, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data—identifying one or more interactions associated with the business logic, identifying in the one or more interactions one or more attributes that are not obtained elsewhere (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Regarding claim 5, which depends on claim 1, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for

Art Unit: 2178

providing the missing data—requesting and receiving the one or more input fields from a business logic, which subsequently processes requests submitted via the form (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Regarding claim 6, which depends on claim 1, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data— identifying in the one or more interactions one or more attributes that are not obtained elsewhere (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Regarding claim 7, which depends on claim 1, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data (col.11, lines 1-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Regarding claim 8, which depends on claim 1, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data —communicating with a business logic to identify one or more input fields (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding claim 9, which depends on claim 8, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data—a plurality of interactions to process requests, comprising an identification of one of the plurality of interactions or data input into the fields (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Regarding independent claim 10, Hitchcock teaches a forms engine for creating an html form in accordance to an institution's request, such as using template files.

Attributes are utilized for automatically adding form validation code to an application(s) for requesting information chosen by the institution— automatically identifying one or more restrictions associated with a data input field; and using, after automatically identifying the one or more restrictions the one or more restrictions and the field to generate a text markup language form definition.— (col. 6, lines 3-11, col.7, lines 29-38, 60-67, col.11, lines 1-col.12, line29, and col.21, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 11, which depends on claim 10, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data—communicating with a business logic to identify one or more restrictions—(col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding claim 12, which depends on claim 11, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data—requesting, and receiving from the business logic an identification of the one or more restrictions (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Regarding claim 13, which depends on claim 11, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form— *identifying in the one or more* interactions one or more attributes that are not obtained elsewhere, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Regarding claim 14, which depends on claim 10, Hitchcock teaches a forms engine for creating an html form in accordance to an institution's request, such as using template files. Attributes are utilized for automatically adding form validation code to an application(s) for requesting information chosen by the institution— automatically identifying the data input field to be included in the text markup language form— (col. 6, lines 3-11, col.7, lines 29-38, 60-67, col.11, lines 1-col.12, line29, and col.21, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 15, which depends on claim 14, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form, against requirements of the institution.

Art Unit: 2178

If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Regarding claim 16, which depends on claim 14, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form— *identifying in the one or more* interactions one or more attributes that are not obtained elsewhere, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Regarding claim 17, which depends on claim 14, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data —automatically identifying that a data input to the automatically identified data input field is required when submitting the form (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line 29, col.14, lines 49-col.15, line 27).

Regarding claim 18, which depends on claim 10, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form fields, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user

Art Unit: 2178

for providing the missing data (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Regarding claim 19, which depends on claim 10, Hitchcock discloses checking the information, such as text, submitted by the user on the form fields, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Regarding independent claim 20, Hitchcock teaches creating a form in accordance to an institution's request, such as using template files. Attributes are utilized for automatically adding form fields to an application(s) for requesting information chosen by the institution— determining one or more attributes that are used by the business logic but not obtained by the business logic elsewhere other than the form definition, and using after determining the one or more attributes... (col. 6, lines 3-11, col.7, lines 29-38, 60-67, col.8, lines 60-col.9, line 20, col.15, lines 27-46, and col.21, lines 1-67).

Furthermore, Hitchcock discloses replacing directives with appropriate validation code in html for validating the form fields—including validation code in the form definition associated with the defined one or more fields—(col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding claim 21, which depends on claim 20, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form, against requirements of the institution.

If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Regarding claim 22, which depends on claim 20, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form to a database, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data. A second stage validation is performed when the form is submitted to an institution (col.14, lines 48-col.15, line 67).

Regarding claim 23, which depends on claim 20, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form to a database, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data. A second stage validation is performed when the form is submitted to an institution —identification of additional restrictions and receiving from the business logic, the identification of the additional restrictions—(col.14, lines 48-col.15, line 67).

Art Unit: 2178

Claims 24-25 are directed towards a computer program product on a computer-readable medium for storing computer-executable instructions for performing the steps found in claim 22, and therefore is similarly rejected.

Regarding independent claim 26, Hitchcock teaches creating a form in accordance to an institution's request, such as using template files. Attributes are utilized for automatically adding form fields to an application(s) for requesting information chosen by the institution (col. 6, lines 3-11, col.7, lines 29-38, 60-67, col.8, lines 60-col.9, line 20, col.15, lines 27-46, and col.21, lines 1-67).

Furthermore, Hitchcock discloses replacing directives with appropriate validation code in html for validating the form fields—validation code from the tag library to verify that a subsequent input to the data field satisfies the one or more automatically identified restrictions (col.10, lines 40-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27). In other words, the html code associated with the validation information for the form fields, is retrieved from a file source, such as a data structure—tag library—.

Regarding claim 27, which depends on claim 26, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data (col.11, lines 45-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27)—automatically identify restrictions, and include in the form definition, the validation code to verify that the subsequent input to the data field.

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding claim 28, which depends on claim 26, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form to a database, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data (col.14, lines 48-col.15, line 67) —identifying one or more interactions associated with the business logic, identifying in the one or more interactions one or more attributes that are not obtained elsewhere.

Regarding claim 29, which depends on claim 26, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form to a database, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data. A second stage validation is performed when the form is submitted to an institution (col.14, lines 48-col.15, line 67) —identifying one or more interactions associated with the business logic, identifying in the one or more interactions one or more attributes that are not obtained elsewhere, additional data input fields to be included in the form based at least in part on the identification of the one or more attributes not obtained by one or more interactions elsewhere.

Regarding claim 30, which depends on claim 34, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form to a database, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data (col.14, lines 48-col.15, line 27).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding independent claim 34, Hitchcock discloses a forms engine—form processing module—for replacing directives with appropriate validation code, found in a data structure, in html for validating the form fields—validation code from the tag library to verify that a subsequent input to the data field satisfies the one or more automatically identified restrictions—restrictions in a form definition for the form—(col.10, lines 40-col.12, line29, col.14, lines49-col.15, line 27).

Regarding claim 35, which depends on claim 34, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form to a database, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data (col.14, lines 48-col.15, line 27).

Regarding independent claim 36, Hitchcock teaches a forms engine—form processing module—for creating a form in accordance to an institution's request, such as using template files. Attributes are utilized for automatically adding form fields to an application(s) for requesting information chosen by the institution (col. 6, lines 3-11, col.7, lines 29-38, 60-67, col.8, lines 60-col.9, line 20, col.15, lines 27-46, and col.21, lines 1-67).

Furthermore, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form to a database—attributes that are not obtained by the one or more interaction elsewhere, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does

Art Unit: 2178

not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data—
indicating that the one or more identified attributes are to be obtained via a data input
field on a form, and further indicating that an input for the data input field is needed
when submitting the form (col.14, lines 48-col.15, line 27).

Regarding claim 37, which depends on claim 36, Hitchcock discloses checking the information submitted by the user on the form to a database—attributes that are not obtained by the one or more interaction elsewhere, against requirements of the institution. If the data submitted does not meet the criteria, the form is returned to the user for providing the missing data (col.14, lines 48-col.15, line 27).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30, and 34-37 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Concerning the arguments that Tondervold does not teach the present invention (pages 12-21), the Applicants are directed towards the new grounds of rejection that teach the invention as amended.

Conclusion

I. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cesar B. Paula whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 2178

4128. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong, can be reached on (571) 272-4124. However, in such a case, please allow at least one business day.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, go to

http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have any questions about access to the Private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866 217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this Action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

• (703) 703-872-9306, {(571)-273-8300 as of July 15, 2005} (for all Formal communications intended for entry)

CESAR PAULA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

4/14/06